

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

<b>SHELTON JEROME CARR,</b>	:	<b>Civil No. 1:23-cv-0056</b>
	:	
<b>Plaintiff,</b>	:	
	:	<b>(Judge Sylvia H. Rambo)</b>
<b>v.</b>	:	
	:	
<b>COMMONWEALTH OF</b>	:	
<b>PENNSYLVANIA,</b>	:	
	:	
<b>Defendant.</b>	:	

**ORDER**

Before the court is Magistrate Judge Saporito's Report and Recommendation (Doc. 6) which recommends that this case be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. No objections to the report and recommendation have been filed.

In considering whether to adopt a report and recommendation when no objections have been filed, the court should, as a matter of good practice, "satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), advisory committee notes; *see also Univac Dental Co. v. Dentsply Intern., Inc.*, 702 F. Supp. 2d 465, 469 (M.D. Pa. 2010) (explaining that judges should review dispositive legal issues raised by the report for clear error). Following an independent review of the record and upon a careful review of the report and recommendation, the court is satisfied that the report and recommendation contains no clear error and will therefore adopt the recommendation.

Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:**

- 1) The Report and Recommendation (Doc. 6) is **ADOPTED**;
- 2) The complaint (Doc. 1) is **DISMISSED** for lack of subject matter jurisdiction;
- 3) The Clerk of Court is **DIRECTED** to close this case; and
- 4) Any appeal taken from this order is deemed frivolous and not in good faith.

s/Sylvia H. Rambo  
Sylvia H. Rambo  
United States District Judge

Dated: March 9, 2023